

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/938,670	08/27/2001	Jens Petersen	50117.000007 2509		
7	590 12/17/2002		,		
Stanislaus Aksman Hunton & Williams Suite 1200 1900 K Street, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			ISABELLA, DAVID J		
Washington, D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738		
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, and					/				
		Application N	o. · 😈 !	Applicant(s)	\bigcap				
		09/938,670	,	PETERSEN, ET AL	O'				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		DAVID J ISAB		3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the correspond nc address Period for Reply									
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIGN OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIGN OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIGN OF THIS FROM THE MEDICAL OF THE MEDICAL OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIGN OF THIS FROM THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIGN OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIG	36(a). In no event, ho y within the statutory will apply and will expi o, cause the applicatio	owever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 l	November 2001	•	•					
2a)□	·	nis action is non	-	•					
3)									
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consid	eration.						
5)									
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
· ·									
•	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	election require	ment.						
· · ·	•	Ar							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
10/			-						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* 1	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the partified applies not received.								
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.									
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmer	nt(s)								
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) [5) [6) [Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-19					

Art Unit: 3738

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:



- 1. Claims 1-12, drawn to hydrogel, classified in class 424 subclass 446
- II. Claims 12-15, drawn to endoprosthesis, classified in class 623, subclass11.11.



- III. Claims 16-22, drawn to method for making hydrogel, classified in class 57,4 subclass 55
- IV. Claims 23-40, drawn to method of cosmetic and surgical treatment using hydrogel, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions group 1 and 2 are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as moisturizers and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3738

Inventions of group 2 and group 3 are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the hydrogel may be made from rooster's combs.

Inventions group 2 and group 4 are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of treatment can be performed using hydrogel from rooster's combs and does not require the specifics of the product of group 2.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the method of treatment including cosmetic; mammoplastic reconstruction; penile enlargement; body contouring; and treatment for oesophagitis.

Art Unit: 3738

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Stanislaus Aksman (202.955.1500) on 12/16/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 3738

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J ISABELLA whose telephone number is 703-308-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-3580 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Art Unit: 3738

DAVIDY ISABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

dji December 16, 2002